

09/782,588

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

			Washington, D.C. 20231	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. A-68950-1/DJB/RMS/DCF	
	02/12/2001	Kopert Kam		

02/21/2002

Robin M Silva, Esq. FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP Suite 3400 Four Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4187

02/12/2001

EXA	EXAMINER					
FORMA	N, BETTY J					
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER					

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applican	t(s)				
	09/782,588	KAIN ET	AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	B.I. Forman	1634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
n			\ \				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however ply within the statutory minimud will apply and will expire SIX	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be cons (6) MONTHS from the mailing o	sidered timely. late of this communication § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	2 February 2001 .						
This action is FINA ! 2b)	This action is non-fina	1.	to the marite in				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application	ion.	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from considerat	ion.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/	or election requireme	nt.					
Application Papers							
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approve	a b) 🔲 disappioved by	TIC EXCITITION				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been rece	ived.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14\\X\ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	-						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N 	4) _ 5) _ lo(s) 6) _	Notice of Informal Patent	Application (PTO-152)				

'Application/Control Number: 09/782,588

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-4, 6-12 and 18-25, drawn to microscope slide composition and method of making said composition, classified in class 435, subclass 288.4.
 - II. Claims 5-14, 16 and 17, drawn to a microscope slide composition and method of making said composition, classified in class 435, subclass 288.4.
 - III. Claim 15, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 435, subclass 288.7.
 - IV. Claim 26, drawn to a method of making microscope slide arrays, classified in class 435, subclass 288.3.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- a. Inventions I & III and II & III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful for purifying ligands which bind to bioactive agents. For example, the slide compositions can be used to capture ligands in solution and once captured, the ligands can be released into a second solution. By repeating these steps, the slide compositions are useful for providing bulk quantities of purified ligands. Additionally, the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

'Application/Control Number: 09/782,588

Art Unit: 1634

b. Inventions I, II and IV are independent and distinct. Inventions are independent and distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation and different functions. The composition and method of Invention I operate by randomly positioning microspheres separated by less than 50µm said microspheres comprising first and second subpopulations of bioactive agents and the method and composition function to provide multiple bioactive agents within a single composition. The composition and method of Invention II operate by randomly distributing microspheres comprising a bioactive agent and a non-bioactive agent and the composition and method function to provide bioactive agents and markers within a composition. The method of Invention IV operates by arranging fiber optic bundles and the method functions to provide an array of cross-sectioned fiber optic bundles.

- c. Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are independent and distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation and different functions. The apparatus of Invention III operates by integrating a detection instrument and a microscope slide composition within a single apparatus and the apparatus functions to provide a detection instrument proximal to the microscope slide composition. The method of Invention IV operates by arranging fiber optic bundles and the method functions to provide an array of cross-sectioned fiber optic bundles.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

'Application/Control Number: 09/782,588

Art Unit: 1634

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BJ Forman whose telephone number is (703) 306-5878. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 TO 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-8724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

BJ Forman, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit: 1655 February 14, 2002